Entered on Docket September 06, 2019 EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Signed and Filed: September 6, 2019

) Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM

Jointly Administered

Chapter 11

is Montale



1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)

Debtors.

 \square Affects PG&E Corporation

* All papers shall be filed in

the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)

 \square Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company

oxtimes Affects both Debtors

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

26

28

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3836 Filed: 09/06/19 Entered: 09/06/19 15:05:02 Page 1 of

-1-

TENTAVIVE RULINGS ON MOTIONS REGARDING DE MINIMIS ASSET SALES

(DKT. NO. 3575) AND SETTLEMENT AND COMPROMISES OF CERTAIN CLAIMS

(DKT. NO. 3576)

De minimis asset sales that implicate Bankruptcy Code

Section 363(f) appear to conflict with B.L.R. 6004-1(a) & (b)

and FRBP 6004(c), particularly where the respondent(s) has not

consented. While it seems unlikely that the type of sales

contemplated by this motion will typically involve either no

liens or liens that will be paid in full upon the sale, the

court is not inclined to depart from the well-established practice in this district on sales free and clear of liens that reattached to sale proceeds.

The proposed language suggested by PERA in its limited objection appears reasonable, as do its requested notice provisions.

If Debtors accept the PERA suggestions and delete the abbreviated "free and clear" procedures that trouble the court, they should revise the proposed order accordingly, obtain approval by counsel for PERA and upload it. If that occurs, the motion can be dropped from the September 11, 2019, 9:30 calendar.

The court believes the limited objections of the Public Advocates Office regarding the settlement and compromise of certain claims are well-taken and that Debtors should agree to either of the suggested alternatives. If they do agree, they should revise the proposed order accordingly, obtain approval by counsel for the Public Advocates Office, and upload it. If that occurs, the motion can be dropped from the September 11, 2019, 9:30 calendar.

END OF ORDER